

## REMARKS

Applicant has carefully studied the outstanding Official Action mailed on June 5, 2009. This response is intended to be fully responsive to all points of rejection raised by the Examiner and is believed to place the application in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the application are respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 9-13 and 15-19 stand rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Globerman et al. (US 7097648) in view of Foley et al. (US 6676665).

Claim 7 stands rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Globerman et al. in view of Brumfield et al. (US 6235028).

Claim 3 stands rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Globerman et al. in view of McNamara et al. (US 5147370).

Claim 4 stands rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Globerman et al. in view of Reiley et al. (US 6248110).

Claim 14 stands rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Globerman et al. in view of Scholten et al. (US 4969888).

Applicant notes with gratitude that claim 8 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Although Applicant traverses these rejections, for the purposes of expediting allowance, claim 1 has been amended with the recitation of claims 5 and 8, which have been accordingly canceled. Accordingly claims 1-4, 6, 7 and 9-19 are deemed allowable.

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

DEKEL PATENT LTD.

BY /David Klein/

David Klein, Patent Agent

Reg. No. 41,118

Tel +972-8-949-5334

Fax +972-8-949-5323

E-mail: [dekelld@netvision.net.il](mailto:dekelld@netvision.net.il)